

Diabetes Prevalence Measure Definition

August 2024

Prepared for: Administration for Community Living



Operator of the CMS Alliance to Modernize Healthcare (The Health FFRDC)

A Federally Funded Research and Development Center

This and other CODI resources are available at https://mitre.github.io/CODI/.

Notice

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of The MITRE Corporation and should not be construed as an official government position, policy, or decision, unless designated by other documentation.

This technical data report was produced for the U.S. Government under Contract Number 75FCMC18D0047 and is subject to Federal Acquisition Regulation Clause 52.227-14, Rights in Data-General.

No other use other than that granted to the U.S. Government, or to those acting on behalf of the U.S. Government under that Clause is authorized without the express written permission of The MITRE Corporation.

For further information, please contact The MITRE Corporation, Contracts Management Office, 7515 Colshire Drive, McLean, VA 22102-7539, (703) 983-6000.

© 2025 The MITRE Corporation.

Authors

The MITRE Corporation, McLean, VA

Acknowledgments

This measure was collaboratively developed by MITRE and the Chesapeake Regional Information System for our Patients (CRISP). We would like to thank the CRISP team for their time and contributions to the development of this diabetes prevalence measure.

Table of Contents

| 1 | Intro | troduction1 | | | | | | |
|------------|-------|---------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 1.1 | Purp | ose | 1 | | | | |
| | 1.2 | Background Research | | | | | | |
| | 1.3 | Assu | Imptions | 1 | | | | |
| | 1.4 | Limit | ations | 2 | | | | |
| 2 | Меа | sure | Description | 2 | | | | |
| | 2.1 | Sour | ce Data | 2 | | | | |
| | 2.2 | Data | Quality | 3 | | | | |
| | 2.3 | Meas | surement Period | 3 | | | | |
| | 2.4 | Ρορι | Ilation Selection | 3 | | | | |
| | 2.5 | Age | Calculation | 3 | | | | |
| | 2.6 | Denc | ominator | 3 | | | | |
| | 2.7 | Denc | ominator Exclusions | 4 | | | | |
| | 2.8 | Num | erator | 4 | | | | |
| | 2.9 | Calc | ulation Logic | 4 | | | | |
| Appendix A | | ix A | Background Research Findings A- | 1 | | | | |
| Appendix B | | ix B | CODI Data Model Attributes Required to Compute Diabetes Prevalence | 1 | | | | |
| Appendix C | | ix C | Diabetes Prevalence Measure Calculation Logic Flow Chart C- | 1 | | | | |

List of Tables

| Table A-1. Diabetes Prevalence Measurements of Interest | A-1 |
|--|-----|
| Table B-1. DEMOGRAPHICS Table Data Elements for Measure Calculations | B-1 |
| Table B-2. ENCOUNTER Table Data Elements for Measure Calculations | B-2 |
| Table B-3. CONDITION Table Data Elements for Measure Calculations | B-3 |
| Table B-4. DIAGNOSIS Table Data Elements for Measure Calculations | B-4 |

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

This document describes the method for measuring diabetes prevalence among a general population and within populations that received an intervention, which includes social services and programs. This document is intended for health information exchanges (HIEs) or organizations holding data from clinical settings who are interested in measuring diabetes prevalence. This measure was collaboratively developed by MITRE and the Chesapeake Regional Information System for our Patients (CRISP), the HIE in Maryland, and will function as a standalone measure and provide a foundation for the assessment of diabetes control. This work is part of an Administration for Community Living (ACL) sponsored project, the Community and Clinical Data Initiative (CODI) Maryland pilot.¹

1.2 Background Research

MITRE reviewed fourteen published diabetes measurement articles to identify candidate measurement approaches for consideration. Appendix A summarizes findings from relevant diabetes literature, which provided diabetes identification approaches that informed measure development. The literature review identified 3 primary approaches to measuring diabetes: SUrveillance, PREvention, and ManagEment of Diabetes Mellitus (SUPREME-DM), Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS), and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) National Quality Forum (NQF.

1.3 Assumptions

This measure definition makes the following assumptions.

- The diabetes prevalence measure will not differentiate diabetes by type (e.g., Type 1 versus Type 2).
- The diabetes prevalence measure will not identify individuals with prediabetes.
- The diabetes prevalence measure will not identify individuals with undiagnosed diabetes mellitus.
- The diabetes prevalence measure will not aim to identify incident cases of diabetes. An incident case refers to a new occurrence of a disease or health condition within a specified period of time. Incident cases are a subset of prevalent cases.
- The diabetes prevalence measure definition supports computation of diabetes prevalence from health data generated from routine delivery of healthcare services. No primary data collection is required.
- The diabetes prevalence measure definition is cross sectional and will not require a longitudinal dataset or attempt to measure progression of diabetes in an individual over time.
- The diabetes prevalence measure definition assumes that HIEs have access to basic demographic data attributes, encounter information, and diagnostic codes in the form of ICD-10 codes.

¹ This and other resources available at <u>https://mitre.github.io/CODI/</u>

- HIEs differ in their access to different types of health data received through different mechanisms and in different formats. HIEs implementing this measure may have to translate or adapt the measure definition based on data availability.
- HIEs may have to interpret the concept of a clinical service or an encounter and what evidence is present in the clinical data they receive. For example, a Continuity of Care Document suggests that an encounter occurred but is not itself evidence of an encounter.
- For a panel of individuals, not all individuals will have sufficient clinical data to be included in the measure calculation because they either didn't receive any healthcare during the measurement period or because data from the healthcare received during the measurement period has not been shared with the HIE at all or in a usable way. Thus, HIEs use criteria to select individuals with sufficient data into the denominator.
- The diabetes prevalence measure definition assumes that patient matching and deduplication will be conducted either as an ongoing effort, or prior to the calculation of this measure by the HIE.

1.4 Limitations

This measure has the following limitations:

- The measure can only use data accessible by the implementing HIE. Data from healthcare providers that do not share data with the HIE are not included. The measure will not evaluate the burden of diabetes for individuals unengaged with the healthcare system.
- Laboratory and medication data will not be used to infer a new or existing diagnosis of diabetes mellitus where one was not documented explicitly in the record using diagnostic codes. This may decrease the overall completeness of the denominator.

2 Measure Description

The diabetes prevalence measure identifies the proportion of individuals from a population of interest with a diabetes diagnosis among adults (18 years or older) who received a clinical service from an HIE participant within a measurement period. An HIE participant is a healthcare provider organization that shares data with an HIE.

There are two intended aims of the diabetes prevalence measure.

- 1. Identify the burden of diabetes mellitus among an adult population of interest who received a clinical service from an HIE within a designated period of time.
- 2. Support calculation of related diabetes measures that require identifying a population of individuals with diabetes mellitus for whom other measures can be assessed (e.g., diabetes control among individuals diagnoses with diabetes).

2.1 Source Data

The minimum clinical data necessary to compute diabetes prevalence are described in Appendix B in the context of the CODI Data Model.

2.2 Data Quality

The quality of the clinical data used to calculate diabetes prevalence should be assessed regularly to improve the accuracy and reliability of the results. Users are encouraged to assess data quality based on completeness, accuracy and stability, among others. Users should set their own measurement benchmarks and targets for this measure as appropriate for their data sources.

2.3 Measurement Period

This measure should be evaluated annually, using a 12-month measurement period, to ensure alignment with existing quality care measures and reporting standards. Users of this measure may consider the measurement period that best suits the data available to participating HIEs and the information needs of HIE data-sharing partners like community-based organizations. Users are cautioned that applying an abbreviated measurement period that is less than a year could introduce bias. Users should also consider their reporting aims and alignment with other related reporting efforts like clinical quality care measures that are reporting annually.

2.4 Population Selection

The population of interest may be defined based on the demographic, clinical, and/or other characteristics required for reporting. For instance, a defined population may be all participants that received services from a community-based organization. Determination of which individuals in the population of interest will contribute data to the final measure calculation should be based on the calculation logic described below.

2.5 Age Calculation

Users must calculate individual age as part of this measure. Individuals must have only one age calculated based on a single date in the measurement period to determine if they meet the denominator's exclusion criteria. There are several ways that age can be calculated, but a consistent approach should be used for all individuals.

The recommended approach is to calculate an individuals' age on the first day of the measurement period as follows: Start Date of Measurement Period minus Date of Birth.

2.6 Denominator

Individuals in the denominator are those 18 years or older who have at least one documented clinical encounter during the measurement period. An HIE may define a clinical encounter in different ways, for example a hospital or outpatient visit, or evidence of data in particular data type (such as Admission, Discharge, and Transfer (ADT)).

HIEs without encounter data must implement an approach to identify a clinical service. One example approach would be evidence of an encounter with at least one diagnostic code or only a diagnostic code within the measurement period. For multi-day encounters, an HIE must consider whether to assess the clinical service date at the beginning or at the end of the encounter. The end date of the encounter may be preferred as diagnosis data may be more available at discharge.

2.7 Denominator Exclusions

The following exclusions are applied to the denominator population described above:

- Individuals under the age of 18 are excluded from the denominator to limit the influence of type 1 diabetes mellitus on the measure.
- Any individual with clinical data but no documented clinical services during the measurement period are excluded from the denominator.

2.8 Numerator

Individuals in the numerator are those who have an indication of diabetes unrelated to pregnancy, defined as individuals with one or more ICD-10 diagnostic codes indicating diabetes (E10, E11, and E13).² The population diagnosed with diabetes should be calculated as a proportion of the denominator (e.g., percent of individuals 18 years or older with a clinical encounter during the measurement period).

2.9 Calculation Logic

The diabetes prevalence measure calculation logic is described below; see Appendix C for the calculation logic diagram.

- 1. Is the individual 18 years or older? (Y/N)
 - o If no, exclude.
 - If yes, proceed to next step.
- 2. Did the individual have at least one documented encounter with at least one diagnostic code in the measurement period? (Y/N)
 - o If no, exclude.
 - o If yes, include the individual in the denominator.
- Did the individual have one or more ICD-10 diagnostic codes indicating diabetes (E10, E11, and E13) and not an ICD-10 code indicating diabetes mellitus during or due to pregnancy (O24) in the measurement period? (Y/N)
 - If no, classify as "Does not have diabetes" in the numerator. Calculate as proportion of denominator.
 - If yes, classify as "Has diabetes" in the numerator. Calculate as proportion of denominator.

² Individuals who had an ICD-10 code indicating malnutrition-related diabetes mellitus (E12), diabetes due underlying conditions (E08), or drug-induced diabetes (E09) are not included in the numerator as these are rare types of secondary diabetes. This is consistent with the eCQM Value Set Authority code sets available at: VSAC Diabetes Value set: <u>https://vsac.nlm.nih.gov/valueset/2.16.840.1.113883.3.464.1003.1272/expansion/Latest</u>

Appendix A Background Research Findings

This appendix summarizes findings from relevant diabetes literature, which provided diabetes identification approaches that informed measure development.

| D | Title | Summary | Measurement | Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | Construction of a Multisite DataLink Using Electronic Health Records for the Identification, Surveillance, Prevention, and Management of Diabetes Mellitus: The SUPREME-DM Project ³ | The SUPREME-DM Project aims to identify the number of people with diabetes using a multisite DataLink constructed from electronic health records. The study analyzed health system members with enrollment from 1/2005 through 12/2009 (five years; n=15,765,529). Of those, 1,085,947 (6.9%) met one or more diabetes criteria. Most members with diabetes (88%) met multiple criteria. Of the members with diabetes, 428,349 (39.4%) were incident cases. Data needed for this study included inpatient and outpatient diagnostic codes, laboratory test results, and pharmaceutical dispensing (fills). | The measure aims to be a complete count of individuals living with diabetes and does not differentiate between type 1 and type 2 diabetes. SUPREME-DM identifies members with diabetes based on dispensing of diabetes treatment medications, elevated laboratory values, and inpatient or outpatient diagnoses of diabetes. Identification criteria are one inpatient diagnostic code or two outpatient diagnoses on separate days; two elevated laboratory values (glucose and A1C) from the same test performed on separate days within a two- year period; or on fill for diabetes treatment medication. Value sets for International Clinical Diagnosis (ICD) 9 and 10, Logical Observation Identifiers, Names, and Codes (LOINC), and RXNORM are published with this measure. Members with only a metformin, exenatide, or thiazolidinedione dispensing were excluded to avoid including those with polycystic ovary syndrome or prediabetes. | Inclusion Criteria: All 15,765,529 members of participating health systems who had any enrollment from January 1, 2005, through December 31, 2009 were included in the denominator. This approach was used to establish an unbiased denominator for calculating population rates. The project aimed to capture all cases of diabetes and the earliest source of identification for new cases. All available data from January 1, 2000, through December 31, 2009, was searched to create a series of indicator variables that could identify possible diabetes. Exclusion Criteria: Individuals with pregnancy episodes were excluded to ensure gestational diabetes was not inadvertently captured. |

Table A-1. Diabetes Prevalence Measurements of Interest

³ Nichols, G. A., Desai, J., Lafata, J. E., Lawrence, J. M., O'Connor, P. J., Pathak, R. D., ... & SUPREME-DM Study Group. (2012). Construction of a multisite DataLink using electronic health records for the identification, surveillance, prevention, and management of diabetes mellitus: the SUPREME-DM project. *Preventing chronic disease*, *9*.

| ID | Title | Summary | Measurement | Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria |
|----|---|--|---|--|
| 2 | 2024 Quality Rating System Measure Technical Specific2024 Quality Rating System Measure Technical Specifications (cms.gov) ⁴ (See page 130) | The Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) includes a measure for "Hemoglobin A1c Control for Patients with Diabetes," which includes a specification to identify patients with diabetes and an additional specification to assess control. The algorithm that identifies individuals with diabetes may be applied to diabetes identification in the prevalence numerator. | HEDIS outlines two strategies to identify members with diabetes: diagnostic codes from claims/encounters and medication dispenses. Both methods must be used by the organization, but a member only needs to be identified by one method to be included in the measure. Members are identified as having diabetes during a measurement year or the year prior. Diagnostic codes from claims/encounters: diabetes is identified by one or more acute inpatient encounter with a diabetes diagnostic code, one acute inpatient discharge with a diabetes diagnosis, or at least two outpatient visits, observation visits, telephone visits, e-visits, emergency department visits, nonacute inpatient encounters or discharges, on different dates, with a diabetes diagnosis. Value sets for ICD-10 and Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) are published with this measure. Medication dispenses: Diabetes is identified by pharmacy dispensing records for hypoglycemics/antihyperglycemics or insulin during the measurement year or the year prior. | Inclusion criteria: Any member (18-75) who had a claim or qualifying encounter in the measurement year were included in the denominator. Exclusion criteria: Members who died, who were receiving palliative care, or who were in hospice or using hospice services at any time during the measurement year are excluded. Members ³ 66 years with indication of frailty and advanced illness, or who are receiving dementia medications are excluded. Members with no diabetes diagnosis during the measurement year or the year prior but have been diagnosed with polycystic ovarian syndrome, gestational diabetes, or steroid-induced diabetes during the same period are also excluded from the measure. |

⁴ HEDIS Measure Definition: <u>https://www.cms.gov/files/document/2024-grs-measure-technical-specifications.pdf</u>

| ID | Title | Summary | Measurement | Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| 3 | Quality ID #1 (NQF 0059): Diabetes: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (>9%) (cms.gov) ⁵ | Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) requires that healthcare systems track a battery of electronic clinical quality metrics (eCQMs) including a measure for diabetes control among patients with diabetes. eCQMs are computed at the practice or provider level and are submitted a minimum of once per performance period for patients with diabetes seen during the performance period. The most recent quality data code submitted will be used for performance calculation. The algorithm that identifies individuals with diabetes may be applied to diabetes identification in the prevalence numerator. | National Quality Forum (NQF) 0059 identifies patients with diabetes by one or more diagnostic codes for diabetes and one or more procedure codes that identified specific types of visits from which diagnostic codes may be used. Value sets for NQF 0059 diagnostic codes have been published for ICD and Systematized Nomenclature of Human Medicine (SNOMED). Diabetes diagnostic codes (ICD-10) include E10 (type 1 diabetes), E11 (type 2 diabetes), E12 (malnutrition-related diabetes), E13 (other specified diabetes mellitus), and selected O24 (diabetes mellitus in pregnancy and childbirth) codes that do not include gestational diabetes. | Inclusion Criteria: Patients (18-75) who had a claim or qualifying encounter in the measurement year are included in the denominator. Exclusion Criteria: Patients who were receiving palliative care for any part of the measurement period are excluded. Patients who were ³66 years by the end of the measurement period who also meet any of the following advanced illness criteria are excluded from the measure: Patients with advanced illness with two outpatient encounters during the measurement period or the year prior, or advanced illness with one inpatient encounter during the measurement period or the year prior, or patients taking dementia medications during the measurement period or the year prior, or patients taking dementia medications during the measurement period or the year prior, or patients taking dementia medications during the measurement period or the year prior, or patients taking dementia medications during the measurement period or the year prior. |

⁵ eCQM Measure Definition: <u>https://ecqi.healthit.gov/sites/default/files/ecqm/measures/CMS122v12.html#toc</u>

Appendix B CODI Data Model Attributes Required to Compute Diabetes Prevalence

This appendix defines the data attributes needed to compute the diabetes prevalence measure organized by the CODI Data Model tables; attributes are identified in ALL CAPS as they are defined in the CODI Data Model Data Dictionary.⁶ If you are not using the CODI Data Model, you will need to map the fields from your source system to the attributes defined below.

The following attributes from the DEMOGRAPHICS table are required to calculate age. Notably, patient identifiers needed to match or link patients are stored in the DEMOGRAPHICS table but are not listed below. This measure definition assumes that patient matching or linkage has already occurred.

| Attribute Name | Format | Value Set | Definition | Example Inputs | Measure Calculation Use |
|----------------|------------|-----------|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| PATID | Char | None | Arbitrary person-level identifier. Used to link across tables. | | Primary Key |
| BIRTH_DATE | MM/DD/YYYY | None | Date of birth. Needed for the calculation of age. | 12/09/1949 | Age Calculation |

Table B-1. DEMOGRAPHICS Table Data Elements for Measure Calculations

⁶ Available at https://mitre.github.io/CODI/

The ENCOUNTER table stores information about clinical encounters. The following attributes can provide evidence of a documented clinical encounter.

| Attribute Name | Format | Value Set | Definition | Example Inputs | Measure Calculation Use |
|----------------|------------|--------------|--|-------------------|--|
| ENCOUNTERID | Char | None | Arbitrary encounter-level identifier used to link across tables. | | Primary Key |
| PATID | Char | None | Arbitrary person-level identifier used to link across tables. | | Foreign Key |
| ADMIT_DATE | MM/DD/YYYY | None | Encounter or admission date. | 02/24/2024 | Denominator: Evidence of documented clinical services |
| DISCHARGE_DATE | MM/DD/YYYY | None | Discharge date. | 02/24/2024 | Denominator: Evidence of documented clinical services |

Table B-2. ENCOUNTER Table Data Elements for Measure Calculations

The CONDITION table stores information about a patient's diagnosed and self-reported health conditions and diseases. The patient's medical history and current state may both be represented. The following attributes may provide evidence of a diabetes diagnosis.

| Attribute Name | Format | Value Set | Definition | Example Inputs | Measure Calculation Use |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| CONDITIONID | Char | None | Arbitrary identifier used to link across tables. | | Primary Key |
| PATID | Char | None | Arbitrary person-level identifier used to link across tables. | | Foreign Key |
| CONDITION | seven- character, alphanumeric | ICD-10 | Some codes will contain leading zeroes, and different levels of decimal precision may also be present. This field is a character field, not numeric, to accommodate these coding conventions. Please populate the exact value of this diagnosis code, but remove any source- specific suffixes and prefixes. (Description updated in v3.1.) | E10.42 | Numerator: Evidence of diabetes diagnosis |
| CONDITION_TYPE | CHAR | CONDITION_ TYPE_TYPE | Condition code type. | 10 for ICD-10 | numerator: Evidence of diabetes diagnosis |

Table B-3. CONDITION Table Data Elements for Measure Calculations

The DIAGNOSIS table stores diagnosis codes that indicate the results of diagnostic processes and medical coding within healthcare delivery. The following attributes may provide evidence of a diabetes diagnosis.

| Attribute Name | Format | Value Set | Definition | Example Inputs | Measure Calculation Use |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|---|-------------------|--|
| DIAGNOSISID | Char | None | Arbitrary identifier used to link across tables. | | Primary Key |
| PATID | Char | None | Arbitrary person-level identifier used to link across tables. | | Foreign Key |
| DX | seven- character, alphanumeric | ICD-10 | Some codes will contain leading zeroes, and different levels of decimal precision may also be present. This field is a character field, not numeric, to accommodate these coding conventions. Please populate the exact value of this diagnosis code but remove any source-specific suffixes and prefixes. (Description updated in v3.1.) | E10.42 | Numerator: Evidence of diabetes diagnosis |
| DX_TYPE | Char | DX_TYPE | Diagnostic code type. | 10 for ICD-10 | Numerator: Evidence of diabetes diagnosis |

Table B-4. DIAGNOSIS Table Data Elements for Measure Calculations

Appendix C Diabetes Prevalence Measure Calculation Logic Flow Chart

